

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
11/06/2001	H. Holden Thorp	5470-107BDV3	1504	
90 02/24/2003	F.C.			
PO BOX 37428			EXAMINER	
RALEIGH, NC 27627		CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1634		
	11/06/2001 90 02/24/2003 EL SIBLEY & SAJOVI	11/06/2001 H. Holden Thorp 90 02/24/2003 EL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC	11/06/2001 H. Holden Thorp 5470-107BDV3 90 02/24/2003 EL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC EXAM 27627 CHAKRABAR ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
10/008,233

Examiner

Art Unit

Arun Chakrabarti

1634

	T/ 044/1100 0		
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear I for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
A S THE Exte maili	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). ng date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- Failu - Any earne	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appret to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, caus reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ny and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of this communication,
Status			
1) [X	700 7,		
2a) 🗌	ZUIX This a	action is non-final.	
3) ∐	closed in accordance with the practice under Exp	e except for formal matters, prosec parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (cution as to the merits is O.G. 213.
	idon of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>138-144</u>	is/are	pending in the application.
•	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	Withdrawn from consideration
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	j	s/are allowed
6) 🗌	Claim(s)		olore microstal
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		s/are rejected.
8) 🗶	Claims 138-144		s/are objected to.
Applica	Claims 138-144	are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) accepted or b) objected	40 h., 46 . F
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(e) he hold in about	0
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved by	of CFR 1.85(a).
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	disapproved by the Examiner
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗔	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	d) or (f).
	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
_	Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
	- and depicts of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No.	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure e the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	ocuments have been received in the au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	nis National Stage
14) 🗌 📌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 25 H C C 5 4 4 2 4	
a) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	application has been received.	
15) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 120 a	nd/or 121
Tracinite:	II(S)	, 55 5.0.0. 33 120 d	HU/OF 121,
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	s)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC	
	Paper No(s).	6) 💢 Other: Detailed Action	

Application/Control Number: 10/008,233

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 138-142, drawn to a microelectronic device, classified in class 216,
 subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 143-144, drawn to an oligonucleotide probe, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product an oligonucleotide probe of Group II is deemed to be useful in the microelectronic device of Group I or as a building block or inhibitor of long-chain nucleic acid synthesis or protein synthesis and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/008,233

Art Unit: 1634

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Kenneth Sibley on February 5, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, who can be reached on (703) 308-1119.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti Patent Examiner Art Unit 1634

February 6, 2003

ARUN K. CHAKRABARTI PATENT EXAMINED